

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/726,802	12/02/2003	Osamu Kobayashi	GENSP014	4125	
22434 75	90 06/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP			LEE, CHU	LEE, CHUN KUAN	
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OAKLAND, C	A 94012-0230			- I AI ER NOMBER	
			2181 DATE MAILED: 06/22/2000	·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	L A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/726,802	KOBAYASHI, OSAMU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee	2181			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ag	oril 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2003</u> is/aı		ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	` ' ' '				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	tuben. Flowing			
Attachment(s)	Supervisor	FRITZ FLEMING PRIMARY EXAMINER 6/21/2006 GROUP 2100 A4 2181			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	μυ 2181 (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/17/06 & 5/15/06.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed on 04/27/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Currently, claims 1-20 are pending for examination.
- 2. In responding to applicant's argument regarding the amended independent claims 1, 8 and 15 that <u>Kim</u> does not teach or even remotely suggest that the DVI connector itself (be it an I type of an A type) is reconfigured in any manner let along reconfigured based upon the nature of both the video source and the video display (such as DVI-I connector being configured as DVI-I connector, or vice versa) and that <u>Kim</u> merely ascertains which type DVI connector is already preset and nothing more, as stated on page 11, last paragraph. Applicant's argument has fully been considered, but is found not to be persuasive.

As reiterated in the amended independent claim 1, the configuration is not associated with the signal cable (connector), but the interfaces connected to the signal cable, wherein the interfaces comprise the video source interface and the video display interface. Examiner relies on Kim's teaching for the determination of the type of video source and the configuration of the corresponding interface and relies on the Clark's teaching for the determination of the type of video display and the corresponding configurations. More specifically regarding Kim's teaching, Kim teaches there are two types of connectors (DVI-D (support only digital signal) and DVI-I (support both digital).

Art Unit: 2181

and analog signal), and that regardless which type of connector is utilized the interface associated with the digital display detects if the inputting source signal is a digital signal or an analog signal, in order to set a switch according to the detection (Kim, col. 4, II. 34-48), wherein the detection is implemented by means of a controller (Kim, Fig. 1, ref. 8).

Please view details below regarding the rejections associated to the amended independent claims 1, 8, and 15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kim</u> (US Patent: 6,577,303) in view of <u>Clark</u> (US Patent: 5,949,437).
- 4. As per claims 1, 8 and 15, Kim teaches a system, a method and a computer program product connecting a video source and a video display having a configurable video display interface, comprising:

a signal cable (DVI connector of Fig. 1) for connecting a video source to the configurable video display interface (Fig. 1 and col. 4, Il. 13-16), wherein the signal cable comprises the DVI-I type cable and the DVI-D type cable and wherein the video Art Unit: 2181

display interface comprises the analog video processor (Fig. 1, ref. 1), the digital video processor (Fig. 1, ref. 2), the video signal switch (Fig. 1, ref. 3), the synchronous signal switch (Fig. 1, ref. 1) and the controller (Fig. 1, ref. 8);

a processor (controller 8 of Fig. 1) for making an automatic determination of whether the video source is an analog video source or a digital video source (col. 4, II. 43-48); and

at least one switch (video signal switch 3 of Fig. 1) for configuring the video display interface under control of the processor according to the automatic determination (col. 4, II. 53-65).

Kim does not teach the system, the method and the computer program product connecting the video source having the configurable video source interface and the video display having the configurable video display interface, comprising the automatic determination of whether the video display is an analog video display or a digital video display; and configuring the corresponding video source interface accordingly.

Clark teaches a system, a method and a computer program product for connecting a video source having a configurable video source interface, comprising: connecting the video source having the configurable video source interface (Fig. 2, ref. 16, 18, 30) to a video display (Fig. 1-2, ref. 20, 22); and

automatic determining whether the video display (monitor) is analog or digital (Fig. 6, ref. 68) and configuring the video signal properly before output to the corresponding video display (col. 6, ll. 1-14).

Art Unit: 2181

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Clark</u>'s video source interface and automatic determination of the video display into <u>Kim</u>'s system and method. The resulting combination of the references teaches the system, method and computer program product further comprising:

the signal cable interconnects the video source interface and the video display interface;

the processor automatically determines if the video display is analog or digital; and

at least one switch for configuring the video source interface base on the processor's determination, as the video signal must be properly configured before output to the corresponding video display.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine <u>Clark</u> with <u>Kim</u> for the benefit of providing a multi-display system that enable the connection of analog display as it is more desirable for certain applications to utilize multiple analog displays, such as CAD, video editing and financial applications (<u>Clark</u>, col. 2, II. 33-35).

5. Claims 2-4, 9-11 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kim</u> (US Patent: 6,577,303) and <u>Clark</u> (US Patent: 5,949,437), and further in view of the "<u>Digital Visual Interface</u> (DVI), Revision 1.0".

6. As per claims 2, <u>Kim</u> and <u>Clark</u> teaches all the limitations of claim 1 as discussed above, wherein <u>Kim</u> further teaches that the system, the method and the computer program product connecting the video source having the configurable video source interface and the video display having the configurable video display interface conforms to the Digital Visual Interface (DVI) standard (<u>Kim</u>, col. 4, II. 1-12).

Page 6

Kim and Clark do not expressly teach configuration of the coupling device as a doubly terminated twisted pair type connector having a number of communication channels included therein.

The "<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, Revision 1.0" teaches the use of a T.M.D.S. (Transition Minimized Differential Signaling) differential pair (doubly terminated twisted pair type) connector to interconnect the transmitter and receiver (Fig. 4-1 and Section 4.1 on page 33) having a number of communication channels included therein (Fig. 2-1, page 10) for both digital and analog video signal and both digital and analog video display.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>'s T.M.D.S. differential pair interconnection into <u>Kim</u> and <u>Clark</u>'s system and method.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine "<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>.

Revision 1.0" with <u>Kim</u> and <u>Clark</u>, because <u>Kim</u> and <u>Clark</u>'s system conforms to the DVI standard, therefore the T.M.D.S. differential pair interconnection enables proper communicate of video signals over a T.M.D.S. differential pair connection having the plurality of communication channels.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,802

Page 7

Art Unit: 2181

7. As per claim 3, Kim, Clark and "Digital Visual Interface (DVI), Revision 1.0", teaches all the limitations of claim 2 as discussed above, where "Digital Visual Interface (DVI), Revision 1.0" further teaches that the system, the method and the computer program product connecting the video source having the configurable video source interface and the video display having the configurable video display interface further comprising that the DVI standard supports the Extended Display Identification Data (EDID) specification, wherein both DVI compliant systems and monitors must support the EDID data structure, as the data to be transferred must be packetized in accordance to the defined data structure before transferring and depacktized when the data is received ("Digital Visual Interface (DVI), Revision 1.0", Section 1.3.2 on page 8); and therefore the video display connection system method further comprises:

receiving data from the video source (graphic controller) (Fig. 2-1 in page 10); packetizing the video data to form a packetized video data stream formed of a number of video data packets ("<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>", Section 1.3.2 on page 8);

passing the video data packets by way of selected ones of the communication channels from the video source to the video display (Fig. 2-1 in page 10), as data is transferred over one of the six data channels;

depacketizing the video data packets at the video display (T.M.D.S. receiver) (Fig. 2-1 in page 10); and

Art Unit: 2181

generating a displayable image based upon the depacketized video data (Fig. 2-1 in page 10).

8. As per claim 4, <u>Kim</u>, <u>Clark</u> and "<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>", teaches all the limitations of claim 3 as discussed above, where "<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>" further teaches that the system, the method and the computer program product connecting the video source having the configurable video source interface and the video display having the configurable video display interface further comprising:

encoding video data from the video source from an 8-bit format to a 10-bit format ("<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, Revision 1.0", Fig. 2-1 and Section 2.1 on page 10 and Section 3.1.4 on page 25);

transmitting the encoded video data from the video source (T.M.D.S. transmitter) to the video display (T.M.D.S. receiver) ("<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>", Fig. 2-1 and Section 2.1 on page 10);

converting (converting by decoding) the encoded video data from the 10-bit format to the 8-bit format at the T.M.D.S. receiver ("<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, Revision 1.0", Fig. 3-6 and Section 3.3 on pages 30-31); and

providing the data to the video display (display control) in the 8-bit format ("<u>Digital</u> <u>Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>", Fig. 2-1 and Section 2.1 on page 10).

Art Unit: 2181

9. Claims 9-11 and 16-18 repeat the limitations of claims 2-4 and are therefore rejected accordingly.

- 10. Claims 5-6, 12-13 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kim</u> (US Patent: 6,577,303), <u>Clark</u> (US Patent: 5,949,437) and the "<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>", and further in view of <u>Bauch et al.</u> (US Pub.: US 2003/0152160).
- 11. As per claim 5, <u>Kim</u>, <u>Clark</u> and "<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>", teaches all the limitations of claim 4 as discussed above, where "<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>" further teaches that the system, the method and the computer program product connecting the video source having the configurable video source interface and the video display having the configurable video display interface further comprising two T.M.D.S. links ("<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>", Fig. 2-1 and Section 2.1 on page 10), wherein one link comprises of data channel 0 to data channel 2 and the next link comprises of data channel 3 to data channel 5.

Kim, Clark and "Digital Visual Interface (DVI), Revision 1.0" do not expressly teach that the system method and computer program product having connecting the video source and the video display comprising wherein the communication channel is formed of a main link having an associated main link data rate and an auxiliary link having an auxiliary link data rate

Bauch teaches a video display connection system and method comprising wherein the communication channel includes a primary link comprising data channel 0 to data channel 2 having a corresponding pri_clk and a secondary link comprising data channel 3 to data channel 5 having a corresponding sec_clk (Fig. 3), wherein the primary link operate at a data rate in accordance to the primary link bit clock and the secondary link operate at a data rate in accordance to the secondary link bit clock ([0031 and [0033]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Bauch</u>'s primary link and secondary link into <u>Kim</u>, <u>Clark</u> and <u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>'s system and method. The resulting combination of the references teaches that the system, the method and the computer program product connecting the video source having the configurable video source interface and the video display having the configurable video display interface further comprising the primary link (main link) operate at the data rate in accordance to the primary link clock and the secondary link (auxiliary link) operate at the data rate in accordance to the secondary link clock.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine <u>Bauch</u> with <u>Kim</u>, <u>Clark</u> and "<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>" for the benefit of including the formation of communication with the primary link having the associated pri_clk and the secondary link having the sec_clk would enable a dual single link mode of operation for DVI application, allowing the primary link and the secondary link operate independent of one another (<u>Bauch</u>, [0033]).

Art Unit: 2181

- 12. As per claim 6, Kim, Clark and "Digital Visual Interface (DVI), Revision 1.0", teaches all the limitations of claim 5 as discussed above, where "Digital Visual Interface (DVI), Revision 1.0" further teaches that the system, the method and the computer program product connecting the video source having the configurable video source interface and the video display having the configurable video display interface further comprising wherein the input stream (source video data) is pixel data provided at a native clock rate (CLK frequency), wherein the pixel data is transmitted at the link data rate (T.M.D.S frequency reference) that is different than the native clock rate ("Digital Visual Interface (DVI), Revision 1.0", Fig. 3-1, page 24).
- 13. Claims 12-13 and 19-20 repeat the limitations of claims 5-6 and are therefore rejected accordingly.
- 14. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kim</u> (US Patent: 6,577,303), <u>Clark</u> (US Patent: 5,949,437), the "<u>Digital Visual Interface</u> (<u>DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>" and <u>Bauch</u> et al. (US Pub.: US 2003/0152160), and further in view of <u>Hulvey</u> (US Patent 5,940,137).
- 15. As per claim 7, <u>Kim</u>, <u>Clark</u> and "<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>", teaches all the limitations of claim 5 as discussed above, where "<u>Digital Visual Interface</u> (<u>DVI</u>), <u>Revision 1.0</u>" further teaches the system, the method and the computer program

product connecting the video source having the configurable video source interface and the video display having the configurable video display interface further comprising wherein the main link data is encoded using 8B/10B encoding (converting the 8-bit format to the 10-bit format) ("<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>", Fig. 3-1, Section 3.1.1 and Section 3.1.4 on pages 24-25).

Kim, Clark, "Digital Visual Interface (DVI), Revision 1.0" and Bauch do not teach the system, the method and the computer program product connecting the video source having the configurable video source interface and the video display having the configurable video display interface further comprising wherein the secondary link is encoded using Manchester II encoding.

<u>Hulvey</u> teaches the transmission of video signal using Manchester encoding (col. 2, II. 22-34 and col. 5, II. 10-42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Hulvey</u>'s Manchester encoding into <u>Kim</u>, <u>Clark</u>, "<u>Digital</u> <u>Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>" and <u>Bauch</u>'s system and method.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine <u>Hulvey</u> with <u>Kim</u>, <u>Clark</u>, "<u>Digital Visual Interface (DVI)</u>, <u>Revision 1.0</u>" and <u>Bauch</u> for the benefit of enable more effective data transitions and more accurate clock recovery at the receiver (<u>Hulvey</u>, col.5, II. 27-32).

16. Claim 14 repeats the limitations of claim 7 and is therefore rejected accordingly.

Art Unit: 2181

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-0671. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fritz M. Fleming can be reached on (571) 272-4145. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

C.K.L. 06/14/2006

FRITZ FLEMING
PRIMARY EXAMINER 6/21/1006
GROUP 2100

AUZIRI